IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

Chamber Summons No. 91 of 2005
in
Suit No.2177 of 2004

Hasmukhrai S.Panchmatia ..Plaintiff

vs.

M/s Jaswantlal Kantilal Ankleshwar
Pvt.Ltd. and ors. ..Defendants

Shri H.V.LChande for plaintiff
Smt.Sheela Badneari for defendant.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR J.
20th July, 2005

P.C.

. This application is opposed by the defendant essentially on the ground that the proposed amendment does not spell out as to what details are intended to be brought on record in relation to the Bill of Exchange dated 31st October, 2001. To resolve this controversy the counsel for the plaintiff has produced the xerox copy of the relevant Bill of Exchange in respect of which proposed amendment is intended. The xerox copy refers to the endorsement made on the rear side of the Bill of Exchange. That copy is taken on record and marked "X" for identification. In view of this position, the chamber summons deserves to be allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Chamber Summons is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Amendment to be carried out within a period of two weeks. No order as to costs.

(A.M.KHwANWILKAR J.)